

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/721,871	11/24/2000	Kenneth B. Higgins	5113	4059
7:	590 09/24/2002			
Terry T. Moyer P.O. Box 1927 Spartanburg, SC 29304			EXAMINER	
			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1771	17
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	'>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ı			A S-13			
		Applicati n N	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/721,871	HIGGINS ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Cheryl Juska	1771			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period fo		V IS SET TO EXDIDE	1 MONTH(S) FROM			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, n y within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6	of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 I	Marc <u>h 2001</u> .				
2a)□	·	is action is non-final.				
3)						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-149</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
,—	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-149</u> are subject to restriction and/orion Papers	r election requiremen	i.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Not	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er:			

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-51, 56-85, 103, 117, 118, 123-126, 128-130, 132, 133, 136-142, and
 145-149, drawn to a carpet product with a rebond foam layer, classified in class
 428, subclass 95.
 - II. Claims 127, 131, 134, 135, and 143, drawn to a carpet product without a rebond foam layer, classified in class 428, subclass 85.
 - III. Claims 100-102 and 144, drawn to a textile article, classified in class 442, subclasses 221 and 370.
 - IV. Claims 52-55, 86-99, 104-116, and 119-122, drawn to methods of making a carpet product, classified in class 156, subclasses 82 and other various.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions of Group II and Groups I, III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions contain different layers (i.e., Group II without a rebond foam layer and Groups I and III with a rebond foam layer), thereby producing different effects.
- 3. Inventions of Group III and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871

Art Unit: 1771

paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as an article other than a carpet product, such as impact resistance mat, pad, or body armor, and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 4. Inventions of Group IV and Groups I, III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the products can be made by lamination with an adhesive other than by flame lamination. Additionally, the products can be made by forming the layer of rebond foam directly on a substrate, rather than by forming a self-sustaining layer of rebond foam and then laminating to said substrate.
- 5. Inventions of Group II and Group IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions, the methods of Group IV do not produce the article of Group II, since Group IV requires the presence of a rebond foam layer.

Application/Control Number: 09/721,871 Page 4

Art Unit: 1771

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Cheryl Juska whose telephone number is 703-305-4472. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 10am-6pm.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

cj September 22, 2002

CHERYI) A JUSKA PRIMARY EXAMINER